Reverse mathematics, graphs, and matchings

Jeff Hirst
Appalachian State University
Boone, NC

October 17, 2013

Allegheny College Mathematics Department
Funded by the Leila Parsons Fund and
the William Beazell Memorial Fund

Reverse Mathematics

Goal: Determine exactly which set existence axioms are needed to prove familiar theorems.

Method: Prove results of the form

$$\mathrm{RCA}_0 \vdash \mathbf{AX} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{THM}$$

where:

- RCA_0 is a weak axiom system,
- **AX** is a set existence axiom selected from a small hierarchy of axioms, and
- **THM** is a familiar theorem.

Why bother?

Work in reverse mathematics can:

- precisely categorize the logical strength of theorems.
- differentiate between different proofs of theorems.
- provide insight into the foundations of mathematics.
- utilize and contribute to work in many subdisciplines of mathematical logic – including proof theory, computability theory, models of arithmetic, etc.

RCA_0

Language:

Integer variables: x, y, z Set variables: X, Y, Z

Axioms:

basic arithmetic axioms

(0, 1, +, $\times$, =, and $<$ behave as usual.)

Restricted induction

$(\psi(0) \wedge \forall n(\psi(n) \rightarrow \psi(n+1))) \rightarrow \forall m \psi(n)$

where $\psi(n)$ has (at most) one number quantifier.

Recursive set comprehension

If $\theta \in \Sigma^0_1$ and $\psi \in \Pi^0_1$, and $\forall n(\theta(n) \leftrightarrow \psi(n))$,

then there is a set X such that $\forall n(n \in X \leftrightarrow \theta(n))$

Comments on coding

- Elements of countable collections of objects can be identified with natural numbers.

Comments on coding

- Elements of countable collections of objects can be identified with natural numbers.

- RCA_0 can prove the arithmetic associated with pairing functions.

- Sets of pairs correspond to functions and/or countable sequences.

Comments on coding

- Elements of countable collections of objects can be identified with natural numbers.
- RCA_0 can prove the arithmetic associated with pairing functions.
- Sets of pairs correspond to functions and/or countable sequences.
- Many mathematical concepts can be encoded in terms of such sequences. Second order arithmetic is remarkably expressive.
- Some coding can be averted: See Friedman's work on Strict Reverse Mathematics or Kohlenbach's *Higher Order Reverse Mathematics* in *Reverse Mathematics 2001*.

An example

Theorem
(RCA_0) *Every finite graph with maximum degree* 2 *and no cycles of odd length is bipartite (i.e. can be* 2*-colored).*

The idea behind the proof:



An example

Theorem

(RCA_0) *Every finite graph with maximum degree* 2 *and no cycles of odd length is bipartite (i.e. can be* 2*-colored).*

The idea behind the proof:



An example

Theorem

(RCA_0) *Every finite graph with maximum degree* 2 *and no cycles of odd length is bipartite (i.e. can be* 2*-colored).*

The idea behind the proof:



WKL_0

Weak König's Lemma

Statement: Big very skinny trees are tall.

More formally: If T is an infinite tree in which each node is labeled 0 or 1, then T contains an infinite path.

WKL_0

Weak König's Lemma

Statement: Big very skinny trees are tall.

More formally: If T is an infinite tree in which each node is labeled 0 or 1, then T contains an infinite path.

Or the contrapositive: If a 0-1 tree T has no infinite paths, then it must be finite.

The subsystem WKL_0 is RCA_0 plus Weak König's Lemma.

Note: RCA_0 cannot prove WKL_0

Finally! Some reverse mathematics!

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. WKL_0.
2. *Every 2-regular graph with no cycles of odd length is bipartite.*

Note: RCA_0 proves that a graph is bipartite if and only if there is a 2-coloring of its nodes.

WKL_0 implies bipartite graph theorem

Suppose G is a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ and no odd cycles.

WKL_0 implies bipartite graph theorem

Suppose G is a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ and no odd cycles.

We need to use a $0-1$ tree to cook up a 2-coloring of G.

WKL_0 implies bipartite graph theorem

Suppose G is a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ and no odd cycles.

We need to use a $0-1$ tree to cook up a 2-coloring of G.

Let T be the tree consisting of sequences of the form $\langle i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$ where the sequence is a correct 2-coloring of the subgraph of G on the vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$.

Since G has no odd cycles, RCA_0 proves T contains infinitely many nodes.

WKL_0 implies bipartite graph theorem

Suppose G is a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ and no odd cycles.

We need to use a $0-1$ tree to cook up a 2-coloring of G.

Let T be the tree consisting of sequences of the form $\langle i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$ where the sequence is a correct 2-coloring of the subgraph of G on the vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$.

Since G has no odd cycles, RCA_0 proves T contains infinitely many nodes.

Any path through T is the desired 2-coloring.

A tool for reversals

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. WKL_0.
2. *If f and g are injective functions from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$ and $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$, then there is a set X such that $Ran(f) \subset X$ and $X \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.*

Comment: X in (2) is like a separating set for disjoint computably enumerable sets.

The bipartite graph theorem implies WKL_0. A reversal!

Suppose we are given f and g with $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.

If, for example, $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 2$, build G like this:



The bipartite graph theorem implies WKL_0. A reversal!

Suppose we are given f and g with $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.

If, for example, $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 2$, add edges for f



The bipartite graph theorem implies WKL_0. A reversal!

Suppose we are given f and g with $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.

If, for example, $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 2$, add edges for g



The bipartite graph theorem implies WKL_0. A reversal!

Suppose we are given f and g with $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.

If, for example, $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 2$, extend elsewhere



The bipartite graph theorem implies WKL_0. A reversal!

Suppose we are given f and g with $Ran(f) \cap Ran(g) = \emptyset$.

If, for example, $f(0) = 1$ and $g(0) = 2$, color and finish...



A few other theorems equivalent to WKL_0

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. WKL_0.
2. *Every ctn. function on* $[0,1]$ *is bounded. (Simpson)*
3. *The closed interval* $[0,1]$ *is compact. (Friedman)*
4. *Every closed subset of* $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ *is compact. (Hirst)*
5. *Existence theorem for solutions to ODEs. (Simpson)*
6. *If* $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *is a sequence of real numbers then there is a sequence of natural numbers* $\langle i_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *such that for each* j, $x_{i_j} = \min\{x_n \mid n \leqslant j\}$. *(Hirst)*

Results about matchings: joint work with Noah Hughes

RCA_0 proves the following theorem of Philip Hall

Theorem

(RCA_0) *If $M = (B, G)$ is a finite society such that $|G(B_0)| \geqslant |B_0|$ for every $B_0 \subset B$, then M is espousable.*



Results about matchings: joint work with Noah Hughes

RCA_0 proves the following theorem of Philip Hall

Theorem

(RCA_0) *If $M = (B, G)$ is a finite society such that $|G(B_0)| \geqslant |B_0|$ for every $B_0 \subset B$, then M is espousable.*



Results about matchings: joint work with Noah Hughes

...and RCA_0 proves the following theorem of Marshall Hall, Jr.

Theorem

(RCA_0) *If $M = (B, G)$ is a finite society with a unique espousal, then there is an enumeration of B such that for every i, $|G(\{b_0, \ldots, b_{i-1}\})| = i$.*



Results about matchings: joint work with Noah Hughes

...and RCA_0 proves the following theorem of Marshall Hall, Jr.

Theorem

(RCA_0) *If $M = (B, G)$ is a finite society with a unique espousal, then there is an enumeration of B such that for every i, $|G(\{b_0, \ldots, b_{i-1}\})| = i$.*



Results about matchings: joint work with Noah Hughes

...and RCA_0 proves the following theorem of Marshall Hall, Jr.

Theorem

(RCA_0) *If $M = (B, G)$ is a finite society with a unique espousal, then there is an enumeration of B such that for every i, $|G(\{b_0, \ldots, b_{i-1}\})| = i$.*



A result about infinite matchings (with Noah)

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. WKL_0.
2. *Suppose* $M = (B, G)$ *is a society and* $h(b) = |G(b)|$ *for every* $b \in B$*. If* M *has a unique espousal, then there is an enumeration of* B *such that for every* i*,* $|G(\{b_0, \ldots, b_{i-1}\})| = i$*.*

Note: The existence of the enumeration is actually a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique espousal.

Sketch of the reversal

We need to use the existence of the enumeration to show that a tree with no infinite paths is finite.

Here's a tree with no paths. Nodes are girls



Sketch of the reversal

We need to use the existence of the enumeration to show that a tree with no infinite paths is finite.
Here's a tree with no paths. Add a boy.



Sketch of the reversal

We need to use the existence of the enumeration to show that a tree with no infinite paths is finite.

Here's a tree with no paths. Complete the society.



Sketch of the reversal

We need to use the existence of the enumeration to show that a tree with no infinite paths is finite.

Here's a tree with no paths. In any enumeration, the root boy is last and has finitely many predecessors. The tree is finite.



Arithmetical Comprehension

ACA_0 is RCA_0 plus the following comprehension scheme:

> For any formula $\theta(n)$ with only number quantifiers, the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \theta(n)\}$ exists.

The minimum ω model of ACA_0 contains all the arithmetically definable sets.

Note: $\mathsf{WKL}_0 \nvdash \mathsf{ACA}_0$, but $\mathsf{ACA}_0 \vdash \mathsf{WKL}_0$.

ACA_0 and Graph Theory

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ACA_0
2. *Every graph can be decomposed into its connected components.*

Half of the proof: To prove that 1) implies 2), let G be a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots$

ACA_0 and Graph Theory

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ACA_0
2. *Every graph can be decomposed into its connected components.*

Half of the proof: To prove that 1) implies 2), let G be a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots$

Define f by letting $f(n)$ be the least j such that there is a path from v_n to v_j.

ACA_0 and Graph Theory

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ACA_0
2. *Every graph can be decomposed into its connected components.*

Half of the proof: To prove that 1) implies 2), let G be a graph with vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots$

Define f by letting $f(n)$ be the least j such that there is a path from v_n to v_j.

By ACA_0, f exists. f is the desired decomposition.

A tool for reversals to ACA_0

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ACA_0
2. *If* $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ *is 1-1, then* $Ran(f)$ *exists.*

To prove that the graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0, we want to use a graph decomposition to calculate the range of a function.

The graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0

Suppose we are given an injection f.

If, for example, $f(0) = 2$ and $f(1) = 0$, we will construct the graph G as follows:



The graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0

Suppose we are given an injection f.

If, for example, $f(0) = 2$ and $f(1) = 0$, we will construct the graph G as follows:



Add links for each value of f.

The graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0

Suppose we are given an injection f.

If, for example, $f(0) = 2$ and $f(1) = 0$, we will construct the graph G as follows:



Add links for each value of f.

The graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0

Suppose we are given an injection f.

If, for example, $f(0) = 2$ and $f(1) = 0$, we will construct the graph G as follows:



Add links for each value of f.Decompose G.

The graph decomposition theorem implies ACA_0

Suppose we are given an injection f.

If, for example, $f(0) = 2$ and $f(1) = 0$, we will construct the graph G as follows:



The range of f is computable from the decomposition.

Other theorems equivalent to ACA_0

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ACA_0.
2. *Bolzano-Weierstraß theorem. (Friedman)*
3. *Cauchy sequences converge. (Simpson)*
4. *Ramsey's theorem for triples. (Simpson)*
5. *Polarized Ramsey's thm for triples on trees. (Dzhafarov, Hirst, Lakins)*

Arithmetical Transfinite Recursion

ATR_0 consists of RCA_0 plus axioms that allow iteration of arithmetical comprehension along any well ordering. This allows transfinite constructions.

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. ATR_0.
2. *Lusin's Separation Theorem: Any two disjoint analytic sets can be separated by a Borel set. (Simpson)*
3. *Mahlo's Theorem: Given any two countable closed compact subsets of the reals, one can be homeomorphically embedded in the other. (Friedman and Hirst)*
4. *Every countable reduced Abelian p-group has an Ulm resolution. (Friedman, Simpson, and Smith)*
5. *Sherman's Inequality: If α, β, and γ are countable well orderings, then $(\alpha+\beta)\gamma \leqslant \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma$. (Hirst)*

Π^1_1 comprehension

The system $\Pi^1_1 - CA_0$ is RCA_0 plus the axioms asserting the existence of the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \theta(n)\}$ for $\theta \in \Pi^1_1$. (That is, θ has one universal set quantifier and no other set quantifiers.)

Theorem

(RCA_0) *The following are equivalent:*

1. $\Pi^1_1 - CA_0$.
2. *If $\langle T_i \rangle_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of trees then there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to 2$ such that $f(n) = 1$ iff T_n is well founded.*
3. *Cantor/Bendixson Theorem: Every closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$ is the union of a countable set and a perfect set.*

An abbreviated list of references

[1] Harvey Friedman, *Some systems of second order arithmetic and their use*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B. C., 1974), Vol. 1, 1975, pp. 235–242. http://www.mathunion.org/ICM/ MR0429508.

[2] Harvey Friedman, *Abstracts: Systems of second order arithmetic with restricted induction, I and II*, J. Symbolic Logic **41** (1976), 557–559. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2272259.

[3] Stephen G. Simpson, *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, 2nd ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511581007 MR2517689.

Things that don't fit

Theorems are interesting when they are equivalent to one of the "big five," and also when they aren't.

- The infinite pigeon-hole principle, $\mathrm{RT}(1)$, is not provable in WKL_0. $\mathrm{RT}(1)$ is equivalent to the Σ^0_2 bounding principle.
- The infinite pigeon-hole principle on trees, $\mathrm{TT}(1)$, is not provable from $\mathrm{RT}(1)$ (Corduan, Mileti, and Groszek). Does RT^2_2 prove $\mathrm{TT}(1)$?
- The statement "every graph with finitely many connected components can be decomposed into its connected components" is equivalent to induction for Σ^0_2 formulas over RCA_0. Does RCA_0 prove that every graph with finitely many components has a connected component?
- Full Ramsey's theorem is equivalent to ACA^+_0 (Mileti).