### Graphs, reverse mathematics, and Weihrauch reductions

Jeff Hirst Appalachian State University Boone, North Carolina, USA

October 17, 2016 CT Logic Seminar Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut

#### Reverse mathematics: The method

Reverse Mathematics measures the strength of theorems by proving equivalence results over. . .

The base theory  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$ 

### Reverse mathematics: The method

Reverse Mathematics measures the strength of theorems by proving equivalence results over. . .

The base theory  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$ :

Variables for natural numbers and sets of natural numbers

Axioms

Arithmetic axioms

 $(e.g. n + 0 = n \text{ and } n + (m') = (n + m)')$ 

Induction for particularly simple formulas

Recursive comprehension:

If you can compute a set, then it exists.

#### A theorem of  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$

**Thm:** (RCA<sub>0</sub>) For any *X*  $\subset$  N, the set *Y* = { $n+1$  |  $n \in X$ } exists.

An example:



#### A theorem of  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$

**Thm:** (RCA<sub>0</sub>) For any *X*  $\subset$  N, the set *Y* = { $n+1$  |  $n \in X$ } exists.

An example:

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\chi_X$	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
$\chi_Y$	0	1	0	0	1	1	0

A proof sketch: Given  $\chi_x$ , define

$$
\chi_y(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \chi_x(n-1) & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}
$$

# An equivalence theorem!

**Thm:** ( $RCA<sub>0</sub>$ ) The following are equivalent:

- (1) WKL $<sub>0</sub>$ : Every infinite 0-1 tree has an infinite path.</sub>
- (2) If every finite subgraph of *G* can be 2-colored, then *G* can be 2-colored.

Proof sketch:

- $(1) \rightarrow (2)$  Given a graph, build a tree such that every path computes a coloring.
- $(2) \rightarrow (1)$  Given a tree, build a graph such that every 2-coloring computes a path.











# Graph→tree and path→coloring



# Graph→tree and path→coloring



# Graph→tree and path→coloring





# Tree $\rightarrow$ graph and coloring $\rightarrow$ path



# Tree $\rightarrow$ graph and coloring $\rightarrow$ path









By the way: More things equivalent to  $WKL_0$ 

**Thm:** ( $RCA<sub>0</sub>$ ) The following are equivalent:

- 1. WK $L_0$
- 2. If every finite subgraph of *G* is 2-colorable, then *G* is 2-colorable. [\[4\]](#page-35-0)
- 3. Every continuous function on [0, 1] is bounded. [\[9\]](#page-35-1)
- 4. Every continuous function on [0, 1] is Riemann integrable. [\[9\]](#page-35-1) [\[7\]](#page-35-2)
- 5. Every open cover of [0, 1] has a finite subcover. [\[2\]](#page-35-3)
- 6. Every countable commutative ring has a prime ideal. [\[3\]](#page-35-4)

Many theorems of mathematics are either provable in  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$  or equivalent to one of:  $\mathsf{WKL}_0$ , ACA $_0$ , ATR $_0$ , and  $\Pi^1_1\text{-}\mathsf{CA}_0$ 

# An alternative approach: Weihrauch reductions

We consider *problems* of the form  $P : \forall x(p_1(x) \rightarrow \exists y p_2(x, y))$  $p_1(x)$  means x is an instance of the problem P  $p_2(x, y)$  means *y* is a solution of the instance *x* of the problem P.

In this setting  $Q \leq w$  P means there are computable functionals  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  such that ψ

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \psi & \psi \\
X_Q & \longrightarrow & X_P \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
y_Q & \longleftarrow & y_P \\
\varphi\n\end{array}
$$

Note:  $\varphi$  can use information about  $x_{\Omega}$  to compute  $y_{\Omega}$ . (This is weak reduction.)

#### Weihrauch reductions: A concrete example

Consider the problems:

Problem P: Every infinite 0-1 tree has a path.

Problem Q: Every locally 2-colorable graph has a 2-coloring.

Our previous argument actually shows  $Q \leqslant_W P$ :



#### where

 $\psi$  turns graphs into trees, and  $\varphi$  turns paths into colorings. We also showed  $P \leq W$  *Q*, so  $P \equiv W$  *Q*.

# Weihrauch reduction: Extra milage

In the Weihrauch literature,  $\widehat{P}$  is used to denote the parallelization of  $P$ . A problem for  $\hat{P}$  consists of an infinite sequence of instances of  $P$ , and a solution for  $\widehat{P}$  consists of the associated infinite sequence of solutions. Because of the uniformity of Weihrauch reductions, we have the following general result.

**Thm:** If 
$$
P \leq W
$$
 Q then  $\hat{P} \leq W$  Q.

Consequently, if

P: Every infinite 0-1 tree has a path.

Q: Every locally 2-colorable graph has a 2-coloring. then  $\hat{P} \equiv_W \hat{Q}$ .

# Toward formalizing Weihrauch reductions

We would like to get proof theoretic results from Weihrauch reductions. The functionals  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are not objects of second order arithmetic. However, an analog of  $RCA<sub>0</sub>$  exists for higher order objects.

An axiom system formulated by Kohlenbach [\[6\]](#page-35-5)

 $RCA_0^{\omega}$  includes:

- $\widehat{\mathsf{E}\text{-}\mathsf{HA}}_1^\omega$  Formal arithmetic in all finite types with restricted induction and primitive recursion The law of the excluded middle  $(A \vee \neg A)$
- $QF-AC<sup>1,0</sup>$  A choice scheme that implies the recursive comprehension axiom (RCA)

# Formalizing Weihrauch reductions

Given problems:

 $P: \forall x(p_1(x) \rightarrow \exists y p_2(x, y))$  and  $Q: \forall x(q_1(x) \rightarrow \exists y q_2(x, y))$ 

in the language of RCA $_0^\omega$ , we use  $\mathsf{Q}\leqslant_W\mathsf{P}$  to abbreviate

 $\exists \varphi \exists \psi \forall u \left( q_1(u) \rightarrow (p_1(\varphi(u)) \land \forall v[p_2(\varphi(u), v) \rightarrow q_2(u, \psi(u, v))]) \right)$ 

Which says that there are functionals  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  such that

 $q_1(u)$  If *u* is an instance of Q

 $p_1(\varphi(u))$  then  $\varphi(u)$  is an instance of P

 $p_2(\varphi(u), y)$  such that whenever *y* is a solution the instance  $\varphi(u)$  of the problem P

 $q_2(u, \psi(u, v)) \psi(u, v)$  computes a solution to the instance *u* of the problem Q

For many problems, if *i*RCA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> proves that such a  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  exist, then analogous computable functionals exist. (The converse is not true and the use of the intuitionistic system matters.)

#### Formalized reductions

Useful features of formalized Weihrauch reductions:

**Thm:** If P and Q are nice and  $i$ RCA $_0^{\omega}$   $\vdash$  P  $\leqslant_{W}$  Q, then  $P \leqslant_W Q$ . For more about *i*RCA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> and *nice* see Hirst and Mummert's "Using Ramsey's Theorem Once."

**Thm:** If  $\mathsf{RCA}^{\omega}_0 \vdash P \leqslant_W Q$ , then  $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash Q \to P$ .

**Thm:**  $\mathsf{RCA}^{\omega}_0 \vdash P \leqslant_W Q \rightarrow \widehat{P} \leqslant_W \widehat{Q}.$ 

#### Formalized reductions

Useful features of formalized Weihrauch reductions:

**Thm:** If P and Q are nice and  $i$ RCA $_0^{\omega}$   $\vdash$  P  $\leqslant_{W}$  Q, then  $P \leqslant_W Q$ . For more about *i*RCA<sub>0</sub><sup> $\omega$ </sup> and *nice* see Hirst and Mummert's "Using Ramsey's Theorem Once."

**Thm:** If  $\mathsf{RCA}^{\omega}_0 \vdash P \leqslant_W Q$ , then  $\mathsf{RCA}_0 \vdash Q \to P$ .

**Thm:** 
$$
RCA_0^{\omega} \vdash P \leq_W Q \rightarrow \hat{P} \leq_W \hat{Q}
$$
.

If we write

WKL<sub>0</sub> for "every infinite 0-1 tree has an infinite path"

*G* for "every locally 2-colorable graph has a 2-coloring" then

$$
RCA_0^{\omega} \vdash \text{WKL}_0 \equiv_W G
$$
  

$$
RCA_0^{\omega} \vdash \widehat{\text{WKL}}_0 \equiv_W \widehat{G}
$$
  

$$
RCA_0 \vdash \widehat{\text{WKL}}_0 \leftrightarrow \widehat{G}
$$

More reverse math consequences

We know that:  $RCA_0 \vdash \widehat{\text{WKL}}_0 \leftrightarrow \widehat{G}$ 

It is also known that:  $RCA_0 \vdash \widehat{WKL}_0 \leftrightarrow WKL_0$ 

**Thm:** ( $RCA<sub>0</sub>$ ) The following are equivalent:

- 1. WK $L_0$
- 2.  $\widehat{\text{WKL}}_0$
- 3. *G*: Every locally 2-colorable graph is 2-colorable.
- 4.  $\hat{G}$ : Every infinite sequence of locally 2-colorable graphs has a corresponding infinite sequence of 2-colorings.

Note: RCA $_{0}^{\omega}$  proves that  $G \equiv_W \textsf{WKL}_0 \equiv_W \widehat{G} \equiv_W \widehat{\textsf{WKL}}_0$ .

# Parallelization can affect strength

For the 2-coloring problem *G*,  $G \equiv_W \widehat{G}$  and RCA<sub>0</sub>  $\vdash G \leftrightarrow \widehat{G}$ .

Not all combinatorial theorems behave like *G* when parallelized. For example. . .

Ramsey's Theorem for pairs and two colors RT(2, 2) says: If the edges of an infinite complete graph are 2-colored, then there is an infinite subset of the vertices such that the corresponding complete subgraph is monochromatic.

 $RCA_0 \vdash RT(2, 2) \leftrightarrow ACA_0$ , but by Seetapun and Slaman's theorem [\[8\]](#page-35-6), RCA<sub>0</sub>  $\forall$  RT(2, 2)  $\rightarrow$  ACA<sub>0</sub>.

Parallelization can affect strength

For Weihrauch reducibility, just two applications of Ramsey's theorem cannot be reduced to a single use,

```
(RT(2, 2), RT(2, 2)) \nless \omega RT(2, 2)
```
This is a consequence of the *Squashing Theorem* of Dzhafarov et al  $[1]$ , which shows that if true,

$$
(RT(2,2), RT(2,2))\leqslant_W RT(2,2)
$$

would imply

 $\widehat{RT}(2, 2) \leq W$  RT(2, 2)

contradicting a theorem of Jockusch [\[5\]](#page-35-8)

Of course,  $RCA_0 \vdash RT(2, 2) \rightarrow (RT(2, 2), RT(2, 2))$ , so the connection between provability and Weihrauch reducibility is not simple. (Advertisement for the Logic Colloquium.)

An idea from the proof of the:

Squashing Theorem:  $\langle P, P \rangle \leqslant_W P$  implies  $\hat{P} \leqslant_W P$ (provided *P* is nice)

Compress the sequence *f*0, *f*1, . . . into a single instance *h*0.

$$
h0 \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} f0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ f1 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \right.
$$

An idea from the proof of the:

Squashing Theorem:  $\langle P, P \rangle \leqslant_W P$  implies  $\hat{P} \leqslant_W P$ (provided *P* is nice)

Compress the sequence *f*0, *f*1, . . . into a single instance *h*0.

$$
h0 \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} & f0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ h1 \left\{\begin{array}{ccc} f1 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ f2 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array}\right.\right.
$$

An idea from the proof of the:

Squashing Theorem:  $\langle P, P \rangle \leqslant_W P$  implies  $\hat{P} \leqslant_W P$ (provided *P* is nice)

Compress the sequence *f*0, *f*1, . . . into a single instance *h*0.

$$
h0 \left\{\n \begin{array}{ccc}\n & f0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & f1 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & h1 & \left\{\n \begin{array}{ccc}\n & f2 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & f2 & \bullet \\
 & f3 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet\n \end{array}\n \right.
$$

An idea from the proof of the:

Squashing Theorem:  $\langle P, P \rangle \leqslant_W P$  implies  $\hat{P} \leqslant_W P$ (provided *P* is nice)

Assume the initial outputs of *h*1 are 0.

*h*0 *f*0 • • • • • • *h*1 0 0 • • • • *h*2 *f*2 • • • • • • *f*3 • • • • • •

An idea from the proof of the:

Squashing Theorem:  $\langle P, P \rangle \leqslant_W P$  implies  $\hat{P} \leqslant_W P$ (provided tails of solutions of *P* are solutions)

Assume the initial outputs of *h*2 are 0.

$$
h0 \left\{\n \begin{array}{cccc}\n & f0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & & 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet \\
 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bullet \\
 & & & & & 0 & 0 & \bullet & \bullet\n \end{array}\n\right.
$$

#### Some references

- <span id="page-35-7"></span>[1] Francois G. Dorais, Damir D. Dzhafarov, Jeffry L. Hirst, Joseph R. Mileti, and Paul Shafer, *On uniform relationships between combinatorial problems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 2, 1321–1359. [DOI 10.1090/tran/6465](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6465)
- <span id="page-35-3"></span>[2] Harvey Friedman, *Abstracts: Systems of second order arithmetic with restricted induction, I and II*, J. Symbolic Logic **41** (1976), 557–559. [http://www.jstor.org/stable/2272259.](http://www.jstor.org/stable/2272259)
- <span id="page-35-4"></span>[3] Harvey M. Friedman, Stephen G. Simpson, and Rick L. Smith, *Countable algebra and set existence axioms*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **25** (1983), no. 2, 141–181. [DOI 10.1016/0168-0072\(83\)90012-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-0072(83)90012-X) [MR725732.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=725732)
- <span id="page-35-0"></span>[4] Jeffry L. Hirst, *Marriage theorems and reverse mathematics*, Logic and computation (Pittsburgh, PA, 1987), Contemp. Math., vol. 106, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 181–196. [DOI 10.1090/conm/106/1057822](http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/106/1057822) [MR1057822.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=1057822)
- <span id="page-35-8"></span>[5] Carl G. Jockusch Jr., *Ramsey's theorem and recursion theory*, J. Symbolic Logic **37** (1972), 268–280. [DOI 10.2307/2272972](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2272972)
- <span id="page-35-5"></span>[6] Ulrich Kohlenbach, *Higher order reverse mathematics*, Reverse Mathematics 2001 (Stephen G. Simpson, ed.), Lect. Notes Log., vol. 21, Assoc. Symbol. Logic, La Jolla, CA, 2005, pp. 281–295. [www.aslonline.org](https://www.aslonline.org/books-lnl_21.html) [MR2185441.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=2185441)
- <span id="page-35-2"></span>[7] Marian B. Pour-El and J. Ian Richards, *Computability in analysis and physics*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pl/1235422916> [MR1005942.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=1005942)
- <span id="page-35-6"></span>[8] David Seetapun and Theodore A. Slaman, *On the strength of Ramsey's theorem*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic **36** (1995), no. 4, 570–582. [DOI 10.1305/ndjfl/1040136917](http://dx.doi.org/10.1305/ndjfl/1040136917) [MR1368468.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=1368468)
- <span id="page-35-1"></span>[9] Stephen G. Simpson, *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, 2nd ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. [DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511581007](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511581007) [MR2517689.](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?pg1=MR&s1=2517689)