Reverse Mathematics and Dichotomy

François Dorais, Jeff Hirst¹, Paul Shafer Appalachian State University Boone, NC

These slides are available at: www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh

January 6, 2012

Joint Mathematics Meetings, Boston

¹Jeff Hirst's research is partially supported by the John Templeton Foundation. Any opinions expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the John Templeton Foundation.



Motto: Dichotomy is not constructive.

A result familiar to constructivists:

Theorem: $(\widehat{E} - HA^{\omega}_{\uparrow} + QF - AC^{0,0})$ The following are equivalent:

- 1. LLPO (Lesser limited principle of omniscience) If $f: \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\}$ is a function that takes the value 1 at most once, then either f is 0 on evens, or f is 0 on odds.
- 2. If α is a real number, then $\alpha \geqslant 0$ or $\alpha \leqslant 0$.

Consequently, neither of these statements are provable in E-HA $^{\omega}$ + AC.

- E-HA₁^ω + QF-AC^{0,0} is a weak fragment of analysis based on intuitionistic predicate calculus.
- A real number is coded by a rapidly converging Cauchy sequence of rationals.
- If $\alpha > 0$, there is a witness. $\alpha \leqslant 0$ means $\neg(\alpha > 0)$.



Motto: Dichotomy is computable, but...

Theorem: (RCA₀) If α is a real number, then $\alpha \geqslant 0$ or $\alpha \leqslant 0$.

 RCA_0 is a weak fragment of classical analysis that includes ordered semi-ring axioms plus induction for Σ^0_1 formulas plus computable comprehension.

... but not uniformly computable.

Theorem: (RCA₀) The following are equivalent:

- 1. WKL₀ (Infinite 0–1 trees have infinite paths.)
- 2. If $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of reals, then there is a set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i, i \in I$ implies $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and $i \notin I$ implies $\alpha_i \leq 0$.

Ideas from the reversal

It suffices to use the statement about sequences of reals to find a separating set for the ranges of injections with disjoint ranges.

Ideas from the reversal

It suffices to use the statement about sequences of reals to find a separating set for the ranges of injections with disjoint ranges.

Suppose the injections look like this:

Then build these reals:

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_0 = \langle 0,0,0,0,0,\ldots \rangle \\ &\alpha_1 = \langle 0,0,0,0,2^{-4},2^{-4},2^{-4},2^{-4},\ldots \rangle \\ &\alpha_2 = \langle 0,-2^{-1},-2^{-1},-2^{-1},-2^{-1},\ldots \rangle \end{split}$$

If I contains indices of non-negative reals and includes all positive reals, then I contains $\{n \mid \alpha_n > 0\}$ and avoids $\{n \mid \alpha_n < 0\}$, and so $\operatorname{range}(f) \subset I$ and $\operatorname{range}(g) \subset I^c$.



Since RCA₀ proves that sequential dichotomy implies WKL₀, RCA₀ (or even RCA) cannot prove sequential dichotomy.

By a result of Hirst and Mummert [3], since RCA cannot prove sequential dichotomy, E-HA $^\omega$ + AC + IP $^\omega_{ef}$ does not prove dichotomy.

(AC is a choice scheme and IP_{ef}^{ω} is an independence of premise scheme for \exists -free formulas.)

The result from [3] is not a biconditional, but a *computable restriction* of sequential dichotomy can indicate a candidate for a *constructive* restriction of dichotomy.

Definition: A real α is persistent if

- $\forall s(\alpha(s) \geqslant 0 \rightarrow \exists t(t > s \land \alpha(t) \geqslant 0))$...the expansion of α has no last non-negative rational and
- $\forall s(\alpha(s) \leq 0 \rightarrow \exists t(t > s \land \alpha(t) \leq 0))$...the expansion of α has no last non-positive rational.

Definition: A real α is persistent if

- $\forall s(\alpha(s) \geqslant 0 \rightarrow \exists t(t > s \land \alpha(t) \geqslant 0))$...the expansion of α has no last non-negative rational and
- $\forall s(\alpha(s) \leq 0 \rightarrow \exists t(t > s \land \alpha(t) \leq 0))$... the expansion of α has no last non-positive rational.

Theorem: (RCA₀) If $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of persistent reals, then there is a set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i, i \in I$ implies $\alpha_i \leq 0$ and $i \notin I$ implies $\alpha_i \geq 0$.

Theorem: $(\widehat{E} - \widehat{HA}^{\omega}_{\uparrow})$ If α is a persistent real, then $\alpha \geqslant 0$ or $\alpha \leqslant 0$.

Moral: Reverse math can assist in formulating constructive results.



Indices of minima

Theorem: [2] (RCA₀) The following are equivalent:

- 1. WKL₀.
- 2. For every sequence of reals $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is a function $m : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n, \alpha_{m(n)} = \min\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

Definition: Reals α and β are *pairwise persistent* if $\alpha-\beta$ is persistent.

Theorem: (RCA₀) If $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of pairwise persistent reals, then there is a function m such that for each n, $\alpha_{m(n)} = \min\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$.

Theorem: $(\widehat{\text{E-HA}}^{\omega}_{\uparrow} + \text{QF-AC}^{0,0})$ Fix k. Every finite sequence of pairwise persistent reals has a minimum.



Enough dichotomy! What about trichotomy?

Theorem: (RCA₀) The following are equivalent:

- 1. ACA₀.
- 2. If $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of reals, then there is a set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \in I$ if and only if $\alpha_i = 0$.

Definition: A real α is *contractive* if whenever i < j, $\alpha(j)$ is in the interval $[\alpha(i), \alpha(i+1)]$.

Theorem: (RCA₀) If $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of contractive persistent reals, then there is a set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \in I$ if and only if $\alpha_i = 0$.

Theorem: $(\widehat{\text{E-HA}}^{\omega}_{\uparrow} + \text{QF-AC}^{0,0})$ If α is a contractive persistent real, then $\alpha < 0$ or $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha > 0$.

Variations on persistence

Definition: A real α is k-persistent if its tail, starting at k, is a persistent real.

Definition: h is a *modulus of persistence* for $\langle \alpha_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ if for every i, α_i is h(i)-persistent.

Theorem: (RCA₀) ACA₀ is equivalent to "every sequence of reals has a modulus of persistence."

Theorem: (RCA₀) The following are equivalent:

- 1. WKL₀.
- 2. Every sequence of reals is component-wise equal to some sequence of 0-persistent reals.
- 3. Every sequence of reals is component-wise equal to a sequence that has a modulus of persistence.



Bibliography

- [1] François Dorais, Jeffry Hirst, and Paul Shafer, *Reverse mathematics*, *trichotomy*, *and dichotomy*. Submitted. A draft is available.
- [2] Jeffry L. Hirst, Minima of initial segments of infinite sequences of reals, MLQ Math. Log. Q. 50 (2004), no. 1, 47–50. DOI 10.1002/malq.200310075 MR2029605.
- [3] Jeffry L. Hirst and Carl Mummert, Reverse mathematics and uniformity in proofs without excluded middle, Notre Dame J. Form. Log. 52 (2011), no. 2, 149–162. DOI 10.1215/00294527-1306163 MR2794648.
- [4] Stephen G. Simpson, Subsystems of second order arithmetic, 2nd ed., Perspectives in Logic, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. DOI 10.1017/CBO9780511581007 MR2517689.