

# Milliken's Theorem, Ultrafilters, and Reverse Mathematics

ASL in Chicago

June 1, 2003

Jeffrey L. Hirst

Appalachian State University

These slides are available at

[www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh](http://www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh)

Click on "Slides and Posters"

Reverse mathematics can measure the proof-theoretic and computability-theoretic strength of theorems.

## **Subsystems of second order arithmetic:**

$\text{RCA}_0$ : (recursive comprehension)

- ordered semi-ring axioms
- induction for  $\Sigma_1^0$  formulas
- existence axioms for relatively computable sets
- model:  $\omega$  and the computable sets

$\text{ACA}_0$ : (arithmetical comprehension)

- $\text{RCA}_0$  plus existence axioms for sets defined by formulas containing number quantifiers (no set quantifiers)
- model:  $\omega$  and the arithmetically definable sets

$\text{FS}(X) :=$  all sums of finite subsets of  $X$

**Example:** Suppose  $X = \{1, 2, 5\}$ .

Then  $\text{FS}(X) = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$

No repeating!

**Theorem 1 (Hindman's Theorem [3]).**  
*Given  $G \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , there is an infinite set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$   
such that  $\text{FS}(X) \subseteq G$  or  $\text{FS}(X) \subseteq G^c$ .*

**Example:** Suppose  $G$  is the set of natural numbers that have an even number of factors of 2 in their prime factorization.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	16
G	G		G	G	G		G		G

Candidates for  $X$ :

1.  $G$  doesn't work, because  $3 + 5 = 8$ .
2.  $G^c$  doesn't work, because  $2 + 6 + 8 = 16$ .
3.  $X = \{2^1, 2^3, 2^5, 2^7, \dots\}$  works! Every nonrepeating finite sum is in  $G^c$ .

Sometimes it's hard to find  $X$ .

# Hindman's Theorem and Reverse Math

**Theorem 2.** (Blass, Hirst, Simpson [1])  
( $\text{RCA}_0$ ) *Hindman's theorem proves  $\text{ACA}_0$ .*

$\text{ACA}_0^+$  consists of  $\text{ACA}_0$  plus the existence of the  $\omega$  jump of each set.

**Theorem 3.** (Blass, Hirst, Simpson [1])  
 $\text{ACA}_0^+$  *proves Hindman's theorem.*

**Theorem 4.** (Blass, Hirst, Simpson [1])  
 $\text{ACA}_0^+$  *proves this iterated version of Hindman's theorem: Given  $\langle G_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  there is an increasing sequence  $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for each value of  $j$ , either  $\text{FS}(\{x_i \mid i > j\}) \subseteq G_j$  or  $\text{FS}(\{x_i \mid i > j\}) \subseteq G_j^c$ .*

## Downward Translations

For  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$X - m = \{y \in \mathbb{N} \mid y + m \in X\}$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$n \in X$	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
$n \in X - 2$	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	?	?

A countable field of sets (Boolean algebra of sets) is a collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is closed under intersection, (finite) union, and complement.

A downward translation algebra is a field of sets that is closed under downward translations.

$\text{RCA}_0$  can prove that the downward translation algebra generated by  $\langle G_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  exists.

## Ultrafilters

An ultrafilter on a field of sets  $F$  is a subset  $U \subseteq F$  satisfying:

1.  $\emptyset \notin U$
2. if  $X_1, X_2 \in U$  then  $X_1 \cap X_2 \in U$   
(closed under intersections)
3.  $\forall X \in U \forall Y \in F (X \subseteq Y \rightarrow Y \in U)$   
(closed under supersets)
4.  $\forall X \in F (X \in U \vee X^c \in U)$

An ultrafilter  $U$  is almost downward translation invariant if

$$\forall X \in U \exists x \in X (x \neq 0 \wedge X - x \in U)$$

**Theorem 5.** (Hindman [2]) *Assuming CH, Hindman's theorem holds if and only if there is an almost downward translation invariant ultrafilter on the field of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

**P-theorem 6.** ( $\text{RCA}_0$ ) *The following are equivalent:*

- 1. The iterated version of Hindman's Theorem.*
- 2. Every countable downward translation algebra has an almost downward translation invariant ultrafilter.*



Ideas from the proof of P-theorem 6

- IHT  $\rightarrow$  ultrafilters

Enumerate the d.t. algebra,  $\langle G_i \rangle$ ; apply IHT.

For each  $i$ , let  $\widehat{G}_i$  denote the element of  $\{G_i, G_i^c\}$  containing the homogeneous set.

$U = \{\widehat{G}_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the desired u.f.

- Ultrafilters  $\rightarrow$  IHT

Generate a d.t. algebra from the partitions:

$$G = \langle \{G_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \rangle$$

Find an ultrafilter  $U$  on  $G$ .

Let  $X_0 = \widehat{G}_0$  and  $x_0 = 0$ .

Let  $x_{n+1}$  be the least element of  $X_n$  with  $x_{n+1} > x_n$  and  $X_n - x_{n+1} \in U$  and let

$$X_{n+1} = X_n \cap (X_n - x_{n+1}) \cap \widehat{G}_{n+1}$$

$X = \{x_j \mid j > 0\}$  is the desired homogeneous set.

# Why is Milliken's Theorem in the title of this talk?

Notation:

$A < B$  means  $\max A < \min B$

$\sum A$  abbreviates  $\sum_{x \in A} x$

$\text{FS3}(X)$  is the triples of increasing sums:  
 $(\sum A, \sum B, \sum C)$  where  
 $A < B < C$  and  $A \cup B \cup C \subseteq X$

$\text{FS}n(x)$  is defined analogously

**P-theorem 7.** *For each standard natural number  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\text{RCA}_0$  can prove that the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Milliken's theorem for  $n$ -tuples: If  $f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k$  then there is an increasing sequence  $X = \langle x_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $\text{FS}n(X)$ .*
- (2) *Iterated Hindman's Theorem.*

## Questions

Does  $ACA_0$  prove Milliken's Theorem?

Does  $ACA_0$  prove the iterated Hindman's Theorem?

Does  $ACA_0$  prove Hindman's Theorem?

Can techniques from topological semigroups be applied to the space of ultrafilters on countable downward translation algebras to carry out proofs of combinatorial statements in  $ACA_0$ ?

# References

- [1] A. Blass, J. Hirst, and S. Simpson. Logical Analysis of Some Theorems of Combinatorics and Topological Dynamics. In: *Logic and Combinatorics* (Editor: S. Simpson), Contemporary Mathematics, **65**:125–156, 1987.
- [2] N. Hindman. The existence of certain ultrafilters on  $\mathbb{N}$  and a conjecture of Graham and Rothschild. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36**:341–346, 1972.
- [3] N. Hindman. Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **17**:1–11, 1974.
- [4] Keith R. Milliken. Ramsey’s theorem with sums or unions. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, **18**:276–290, 1975.
- [5] S.G. Simpson. *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

For these slides and proofs of the P-theorems,  
go to [www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh](http://www.mathsci.appstate.edu/~jlh)  
and click on “Slides and Posters.”